

ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

Churchill Building 10019 103 Avenue Edmonton AB T5J 0G9 Phone: (780) 496-5026

NOTICE OF DECISION

No. 0098 785/11

Altus Group 17327 106A Avenue EDMONTON, AB T5S 1M7 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on February 27, 2012, respecting a complaint for:

| Roll | Municipal | Legal | Assessed Value | Assessment | Assessment |
|---------|---------------------------|---|----------------|------------|-------------|
| Number | Address | Description | | Type | Notice for: |
| 9954292 | 10216 124 STREET NW | Plan: RN22 Block: 33 Lot: 14 / Lot: 15 / Lot: 16 / Lot: 13 / Lot: 12 / Lot: 11 | \$33,726,500 | Annual New | 2011 |

Before:

Robert Mowbrey, Presiding Officer Brian Frost, Board Member Mary Sheldon, Board Member

Board Officer: Segun Kaffo

Persons Appearing on behalf of Complainant:

John Trelford, Altus Group Ltd.

Persons Appearing on behalf of Respondent:

Brennen Tipton, Assessor, City of Edmonton Cam Ashmore, Lawyer, City of Edmonton Darren Davies, Assessor, City of Edmonton Vasily Kim, Assessor, City of Edmonton

PRELIMINARY AND PROCEDURAL MATTERS

[1] The parties indicated they had no objection to the composition of the Board. In addition, the Board members indicated they had no bias on this file.

[2] At the beginning of the hearing, the Respondent indicated the parties had come to a joint recommendation.

ISSUE(S)

[3] What is the market value of the subject property?

LEGISLATION

Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26

[4] s 467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

[5] s 467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT

[6] The Complainant and the Respondent gave a joint recommendation to the Board. The recommendation is based on a size correction to the subject property.

DECISION

[7] After the joint recommendation, the Board recessed, deliberated and rendered a decision to the parties. The decision of the Board is to reduce the 2011 assessment from \$33,726,500 to \$33,612,000.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

[8] The Board agrees with the joint recommendation of both the Complainant and the Respondent.

DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS

[9] There was no dissenting opinion.

Dated this 28th day of February, 2012, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

Robert Mowbrey, Presiding Officer

This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26.

cc: PLAZA 124 NOMINEE COMPANY